arXiv:hep-th/9408009v1 2 Aug 1994

Equivalence of the Euclidean and Wightman Field Theories.

Yu. M. Zinoviev*†
Steklov Mathematical Institute, Vavilov St. 42,
Moscow 117966, GSP-1, Russia

Abstract The new inversion formula for the Laplace transformation of the tempered distributions with supports in the closed positive semiaxis is obtained. The inverse Laplace transform of the tempered distribution is defined by means of the limit of the special distribution constructed from this distribution. The weak spectral condition on the Euclidean Green's functions requires that some of the limits needed for the inversion formula exist for any Euclidean Green's function with even number of variables. We prove that the initial Osterwalder–Schrader axioms [1] and the weak spectral condition are equivalent with the Wightman axioms.

1 Introduction

In 1973 K.Osterwalder and R.Schrader [1] claimed to have found necessary and sufficient conditions under which Euclidean Green's functions have analytic continuations whose boundary values define a unique set of Wightman distributions. The pricipal idea of the Osterwalder–Schrader paper [1] was to consider the Euclidean Green's functions to be the distributions. Usually the Euclidean Green's functions were considered to be the analytic functions. Later R.Schrader [2] found the counter example for the crucial lemma of the paper [1]. In 1975 K.Osterwalder and R.Schrader proposed additional "linear growth condition" under which Euclidean Green's functions, satisfying the Osterwalder–Schrader axioms [1], define the Wightman theory. But these new extended axioms for the Euclidean Green's functions may be not equivalent with the Wightman axioms. It is possible to restore the equivalence theorem by adding the new condition [2] that the Euclidean Green's functions are the Laplace transforms of the tempered distributions with supports in the positive semiaxis with respect to the time variables. The equivalence theorem becomes trivial [2]. This new condition contradicts the principal Osterwalder–Schrader idea to consider the Euclidean Green's functions to be the distributions and it is not suitable for applications because it seems difficult to check it up. This paper is an attempt to understand the mathematical foundation of the Osterwalder–Schrader results. Our aim is to find the additional reasonable condition

---

[*] The research described in this publication was made possible in part by Grant No. 93–011–147 from the Russian Foundation of Fundamental Researches.

[†] e–mail: zinoviev@qft.mian.su

which allows to prove that the extended Osterwalder–Schrader axioms are equivalent with the Wightman axioms.

One of the Ostewalder–Schrader axioms is the positivity condition. If we consider the simplest case and neglect the space variables we can write the positivity condition in the form

$$\int_0^\infty dt \int_0^\infty ds f(t+s)\overline{\phi(t)}\phi(s) \geq 0 \tag{1.1}$$

Due to [3, Lemma A] the positivity condition (1.1) for the distribution $f(t) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_+)$, where $\mathbf{R}_+$ is the open positive semiaxis, implies the condition in $\mathbf{R}_+$

$$\sum_{m,n} a_m \overline{a}_n \frac{d^{m+n}f}{dt^{m+n}}(t) \geq 0 \tag{1.2}$$

for all finite sequences of the complex numbers a_m. Corollary C from [3] implies that the distribution $f(t) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_+)$, satisfying the condition (1.2) for all terminating sequences of complex numbers a_m, is the restriction to the semiaxis of a function $A(x+iy)$ analytic in the tube $\mathbf{R}_+ + i\mathbf{R}$. To explain the difficulties which this way encounters in proving the Osterwalder–Schrader theorem we cite here an extract from the remarkable paper [3] : "The Euclidean Green's functions satisfying the Osterwalder–Schrader postulates can be shown to be restrictions of the functions analytic in the whole Wightman causal domain and to satisfy the positivity condition there in a sence to be presently explained. The author has, however, not been able to show the tempered growth of those analytic functions near the real Minkowski space boundary and believes at present that this is impossible to achieve without further assumptions on the growth properties of Schwinger functions s_n with respect to the index n. This is suggested by the fact that in order to reach the real Minkowski space by analytic completion for a given s_n an infinite number of steps are required, each of which involves the other functions s_m via the Schwartz inequality with higher and higher values of m". Our way of proving the equivalence theorem doesn't use the analytic functions at all. Due to the Osterwalder–Schrader idea we consider the Euclidean Green's functions to be the distributions.

S.Bernstein [4] called a function exponentially convex if it satisfies the positivity condition (1.1). We shall prove that a tempered distribution $f(t) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_+)$ is exponentially convex iff a tempered distribution $g(t) = f(-t) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ is absolutely monotonic, i. e.

$$\frac{d^m g}{dt^m}(t) \geq 0 \tag{1.3}$$

for all $m = 0, 1, \ldots$. The following counter example: $f(t) = \exp\{t\}$ shows that this theorem is wrong for the distributions from $D'(\mathbf{R}_+)$. S.Bernstein [4] studied the absolutely monotonic functions. It is natural to have a try to generalize the Bernstein result. We shall prove that if for a distribution $f(t) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_+)$ a distribution $g(t) = f(-t) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ is absolutely monotonic then

$$f(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-ts} d\mu(s), \tag{1.4}$$

where the positive measure $\mu(s)$ has tempered growth. The measure $\mu(s)$ explicitely depends on the distribution $f(t)$. It is the sum of two limits of the special distributions constructed from the distribution $f(t)$. By using the generalized Bernstein theorem it is possible to obtain the new inversion formula for the Laplace transformation of the tempered distributions with supports in the closed positive semiaxis. Our weak spectral condition on the Euclidean Green's functions requires that some of the limits needed for the inversion formula exist for any Euclidean Green's function with even number of variables. We shall prove that the initial Osterwalder–Schrader axioms [1] and the weak spectral condition are equivalent with the Wightman axioms.

In the next section we study the absolutely monotonic distributions. The generalization of the Bernstein theorem [4] is proved. The new inversion formula for the Laplace transformation of the tempered distributions with supports in the closed positive semiaxis is obtained. The third section is devoted to study the exponentially convex tempered distributions and the tempered distributions satisfying the Osterwalder–Schrader positivity condition which includes the space variables. In the fourth section the revised Osterwalder–Schrader theorem is proved.

2 Absolutely monotonic distributions

$D(\mathbf{R}_+)$ denotes the subspace of $D(\mathbf{R})$ of functions with support in the positive semiaxis $\overline{\mathbf{R}}_+ = [0, \infty)$, given the induced topology. Similarly $D(\mathbf{R}_-)$ denotes the subspace of $D(\mathbf{R})$ of functions with support in the negative semiaxis $\overline{\mathbf{R}}_- = (-\infty, 0]$, given the induced topology. If the function $\phi(x) \in D(\mathbf{R}_-)$ then the function $\phi(-x) \in D(\mathbf{R}_+)$.

Since the topology of the space $D(\mathbf{R}_+)$ is induced by the topology of the space $D(\mathbf{R})$ there exists a natural number N for a distribution $f \in D'(\mathbf{R}_+)$ such that the estimation

$$|(f, \phi)| \leq C \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}_+, 0 \leq k < N} |\frac{d^k \phi}{dx^k}| \tag{2.1}$$

holds for every function $\phi(x) \in D(\mathbf{R})$ with support in the interval $[0, 1]$. By using the estimation (2.1) and the identity

$$\frac{d^k}{dx^k}(x^{N_1}\phi(x)) = x^{N_1-k-1}[\prod_{j=1}^{k}(N_1 - j + x\frac{d}{dx})](x\phi(x)) \tag{2.2}$$

for a natural number k it is easy to show for any integer $N_1 \geq N$ that the inequality

$$|(x^{N_1} f(x), \phi(x))| \leq C_1 \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}_+, 0 \leq k < N} |(x\frac{d}{dx})^k (x\phi(x))| \tag{2.3}$$

holds for every function $\phi(x) \in D(\mathbf{R})$ with support in the interval $[0, 1]$. We denote by $N(f)$ the minimal integer N_1 such that the distribution $x^{N_1} f(x) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_+)$ satisfies the inequality of type (2.3) for every function $\phi(x) \in D(\mathbf{R})$ with support in the interval $[0, 1]$. The inequality (2.3) implies the inequality

$$N(f) \geq N(x\frac{df}{dx}) \tag{2.4}$$

for any distribution $f(x) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_+)$.

Lemma 2.1. *For every distribution $f(x) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_+)$, for any function $\phi(x) \in D(\mathbf{R})$ and for every integer $N \geq N(f)$ the limit*

$$\lim_{\alpha \to +0} (x^N f(x), \theta(x) \exp\{-\alpha x^{-1}\} \phi(x)) \tag{2.5}$$

defines the extension $[x^N f](x) \in D'(\mathbf{R})$ with support in the positive semiaxis $\overline{\mathbf{R}}_+$.
Proof. Lemma 2.1 follows from the estimation (2.3) and [5, equality (14)].

The distribution $f(x) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ is said to be absolutely monotonic if for all natural numbers $m = 0, 1, ...$ the distribution $\frac{d^m f}{dx^m}(x)$ is positive.

If a function $\phi(x) \in D(\mathbf{R})$ then for sufficiently large positive t the function $\phi(x + t) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_-)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let the distribution $f(x) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ be absolutely monotonic then for any function $\phi(x) \in D(\mathbf{R})$*

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} (f(x), \phi(x - t)) = L_0^{-1}[f] \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx \tag{2.6}$$

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} t^k (\frac{d^k f}{dx^k}(x), \phi(x - t)) = 0, k = 1, 2, ... \tag{2.7}$$

If the distribution $f(x) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ is absolutely monotonic the distribution $(-x)^{-1} f(x) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ is also absolutely monotonic, and the constant $L_0^{-1}[(-x)^{-1} f(x)] = 0$.
Proof. Let the function $\phi(x) \in D(\mathbf{R})$ be positive and its support be in the interval $[a, b]$. The function $f(t; \phi) \equiv (f(x), \phi(x - t))$ is defined on the semiaxis $(-\infty, -a]$. It is infinitely differentiable. Since the distribution $f(x)$ is absolutely monotonic the positivity of the function $\phi(x)$ implies

$$\frac{d^n}{dt^n} f(t; \phi) = (\frac{d^n f}{dx^n}(x), \phi(x - t)) \geq 0, n = 0, 1, ... \tag{2.8}$$

Hence for every $n = 1, 2, ...$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} f(t; \phi) \leq 2|t|^{-1} \int_t^{t/2} \frac{d^n}{dy^n} f(y; \phi) dy = \\ \leq 2|t|^{-1} \left[\frac{d^{n-1}}{dy^{n-1}} f(y; \phi) \mid_{y=t/2} - \frac{d^{n-1}}{dt^{n-1}} f(t; \phi) \right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where $t < 0, -a$. Due to the inequlities (2.8) the function $f(t; \phi)$ is positive and non–decreasing on the semiaxis $(-\infty, -a]$. Therefore the limit (2.6) exists. Then it follows from the inequality (2.9) for $n = 1$ that the limit (2.7) equals zero for $k = 1$. By using the induction and the inequality (2.9) it is easy to prove the equalities (2.7) for $k = 1, 2, ...$ and for any positive function $\phi(x) \in D(\mathbf{R})$.

Let a function $\phi(x) \in D(\mathbf{R})$ and the number $M = \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |\phi(x)|$. Let a positive function $h(x) \in D(\mathbf{R})$ be equal to one on the support of the function $\phi(x)$. The function $\phi(x)$ is the difference of the positive functions $1/2(Mh(x) \pm \phi(x))$. This decomposition implies the equalities (2.7) for the function $\phi(x)$ since the equalities (2.7) are valid for the positive functions from $D(\mathbf{R})$.

Let the integral of a positive function $h(x) \in D(\mathbf{R})$ be equal to one. Then any function $\phi(x) \in D(\mathbf{R})$ may be rewritten as

$$\phi(x) = h(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(y)dy + \frac{d\psi}{dx}(x), \tag{2.10}$$

where $\psi(x) \in D(\mathbf{R})$. The limit (2.6) exists for any positive function from $D(\mathbf{R})$. Hence the decomposition (2.10) and the equality (2.7) for $k = 1$ imply the equality (2.6).

If the distribution $f(x) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ is absolutely monotonic the distribution $(-x)^{-1}f(x) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ is also absolutely monotonic. It follows from the relations (2.6) for the distributions $f(x)$ and $(-x)^{-1}f(x)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{t\to-\infty} t((-x)^{-1}f(x), \phi(x-t)) = \\ &-L_0^{-1}[(-x)^{-1}f(x)] \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x\phi(x)dx - L_0^{-1}[f] \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x)dx \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Since the limit (2.6) for the distribution $(-x)^{-1}f(x)$ exists the limit (2.11) may exist when the constant $L_0^{-1}[(-x)^{-1}f(x)] = 0$.

For a function $\phi(x) \in D(\mathbf{R})$ and $k = 1, 2,...$ we introduce the function

$$\phi^{(-k)}(x) = -((k-1)!)^{-1} \int_x^{\infty} (x-y)^{k-1}\phi(y)dy \tag{2.12}$$

and for $k = 0$ we define $\phi^{(0)}(x) = \phi(x)$. The infinitely differentiable function (2.12) equals zero on the positive semiaxis for $\phi(x) \in D(\mathbf{R}_-)$. Our notation is reasonable since $\frac{d^l}{dx^l}\phi^{(-k)}(x) = \phi^{(l-k)}(x)$ for $l \leq k$.

Let a positive function $h(x) \in D(\mathbf{R})$ have the integral equal one and its support be in the positive semiaxis. Let us construct the infinitely differentiable function with finite support for every $T < 0$

$$h_T(x) = \int_x^{x-T} h(y)dy. \tag{2.13}$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let a distribution $f(x) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ be absolutely monotonic. Then for any function $\phi(x) \in D(\mathbf{R}_-)$ and for any integer $k = 1, 2,...$*

$$\lim_{T\to-\infty} (-1)^k (\frac{d^k f}{dx^k}(x), h_T(x)\phi^{(-k)}(x)) = (f(x), \phi(x)) - L_0^{-1}[f] \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x)dx, \tag{2.14}$$

where the constant $L_0^{-1}[f]$ is given by the equality (2.6).

Proof. The definitions (2.12) and (2.13) imply for any integer $k = 1, 2,...$ the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} &(\frac{d^k f}{dx^k}(x), h_T(x)\phi^{(-k)}(x)) = -(\frac{d^{k-1} f}{dx^{k-1}}(x), h_T(x)\phi^{(1-k)}(x)) \\ &-(\frac{d^{k-1} f}{dx^{k-1}}(x), (h(x-T) - h(x))\phi^{(-k)}(x)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Due to the definitions the supports of the functions $h(x)$ and $\phi^{(-k)}(x)$ don't intersect. Hence the function $h(x)\phi^{(-k)}(x) = 0$. By using the equality (2.15) k times we get

$$(-1)^k(\frac{d^k f}{dx^k}(x), h_T(x)\phi^{(-k)}(x)) = (f(x), h_T(x)\phi(x)) +$$
$$\sum_{p=0}^{k-1}(-1)^p(\frac{d^p f}{dx^p}(x), h(x-T)\phi^{(-p-1)}(x)). \tag{2.16}$$

Since the supports of the functions $h(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ are finite we obtain for the sufficiently large modulus of the negative number T

$$h(x-T)\int_{-\infty}^{x}(x-y)^{p-1}\phi(y)dy = 0, \tag{2.17}$$

where the integer $p = 1, 2,...$. It follows from the relations (2.6), (2.7), (2.12) and (2.17) that

$$\lim_{T\to-\infty}\sum_{p=0}^{k-1}(-1)^p(\frac{d^p f}{dx^p}(x), h(x-T)\phi^{(-p-1)}(x)) = -L_0^{-1}[f]\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\phi(x)dx, \tag{2.18}$$

where the constant $L_0^{-1}[f]$ is given by the equality (2.6).

The definition (2.13) implies that the function $h_T(x)$ is equal to one on the support of the function $\phi(x) \in D(\mathbf{R}_-)$ for the sufficiently large modulus of the negative number T, as the integral of the function $h(x) \in D(\mathbf{R}_+)$ is equal to one. Now the equality (2.14) is the consequence of the equalities (2.16) and (2.18).

For a distribution $f(x) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ we define a functional on the space $S(\mathbf{R})$ by the following relation

$$(L_c^{-1}[f](-x; n, T), \phi(x)) = (f(x), L_c^{-1}[\phi](-x; n, T)) =$$
$$(n!)^{-1}((-x)^n\frac{d^{n+1}f}{dx^{n+1}}(x), \theta(-x)h_T(x)\phi(-nx^{-1})), \tag{2.19}$$

where n is a positive integer and the function $h_T(x)$ is given by the equality (2.13). It is easy to show that the tempered distribution $L_c^{-1}[f](-x; n, T) \in S'(\mathbf{R})$ is positive and its support is in the positive semiaxis.

Proposition 2.4. *Let a distribution $f(x) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ be absolutely monotonic. Then in the topological space $S'(\mathbf{R})$ there exists the limit*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\lim_{T\to-\infty} L_c^{-1}[f](-x; n, T) = L_c^{-1}[f](-x) \tag{2.20}$$

The tempered distribution $L_c^{-1}[f](-x) \in S'(\mathbf{R})$ is positive and its support is in the positive semiaxis.

Proof. Let us multiply and divide the function $\phi(x)$ in the right-hand side of the equalities (2.19) by the same polynomial $(1+x)^N$

$$(L_c^{-1}[f](-x;n,T),\phi(x)) = (n!)^{-1}\int dx(-x)^{n+N(f)+1}\frac{d^{n+1}f}{dx^{n+1}}(x)\times$$
$$(-x)^{N-N(f)-1}\theta(-x)h_T(x)(\frac{1-nx^{-1}}{n-x})^N\phi(-nx^{-1}), \tag{2.21}$$

where $N(f)$ is the minimal integer N_1 such that the inequality (2.3) is satisfied. The relation (2.2) for $N_1 = 1$ implies

$$(-x)^{n+N(f)+1}\frac{d^{n+1}f}{dx^{n+1}}(x) = (-1)^{n+N(f)+1}(x)^{N(f)+1}(\prod_{j=1}^{n}(x\frac{d}{dx}+1-j))\frac{df}{dx}(x). \tag{2.22}$$

Due to the inequality (2.4) and Lemma 2.1 the positive distribution (2.22) from $D'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ is extended to the positive distribution from $D'(\mathbf{R})$ with support in the negative semiaxis. This extension is defined by the limit analogous to the limit (2.5).

For the sufficiently large positive integer n the function $(-x)^{N-N(f)-1}h_T(x)(n-x)^{-N}$ is infinitely differentiable for $N > N(f)$. It is positive on the negative semiaxis. Now the positivity of the extension of the distribution (2.22) implies the following estimation of the integral (2.21)

$$|(L_c^{-1}[f](-x;n,T),\phi(x))| \leq C_{n,T}(N)\sup_{x\geq 0}(1+x)^N|\phi(x)|. \tag{2.23}$$

Here the constant

$$C_{n,T}(N) = (n!)^{-1}\lim_{\alpha\to+0}\int dx(-x)^{n+N(f)+1}\frac{d^{n+1}f}{dx^{n+1}}(x)\times$$
$$\theta(-x)\exp\{\alpha nx^{-1}\}(-x)^{N-N(f)-1}h_T(x)(n-x)^{-N} =$$
$$\lim_{\alpha\to+0}(L_c^{-1}[f](-x;n,T),\exp\{-\alpha x\}(1+x)^{-N}) \tag{2.24}$$

and the integer $N > N(f)$. We denote by $|\bullet|_N$ the norm in the right-hand side of the inequality (2.23). We define H_N to be the Bahach space completion of the space $S(\mathbf{R})$. Due to the inequality (2.23) for $N = N(f)+1$ the tempered distribution $L_c^{-1}[f](-x;n,T) \in S'(\mathbf{R})$ is continued to the linear continuous functional $L_c^{-1}[f](-x;n,T)^c$ on the Banach space $H_{N(f)+1}$. Now the relation (2.24) may be rewritten as $C_{n,T}(N) = (L_c^{-1}[f](-x;n,T)^c,(1+x)^{-N})$, where $N > N(f)$.

Let us assume that for any integer $N > N(f)$ there exists the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\lim_{T\to-\infty}C_{n,T}(N) \tag{2.25}$$

This assumption and the inequality (2.23) for $N = N(f)+1$ imply that the linear continuous functionals $L_c^{-1}[f](-x;n,T)^c$ on the Banach space $H_{N(f)+1}$ are uniformly bounded. In view of the relation (2.24) the existence of the limit (2.25) is equivalent to the covergence of sequence $\{L_c^{-1}[f](-x;n,T)^c\}$ on every function $(1+x)^{-N} \in H_{N(f)+1}$, where $N > N(f)$. If the set of these functions is dense in the Banach space $H_{N(f)+1}$ then by the Banach–Steinhaus theorem

[6, Section 3.7] the sequence of linear continuous functionals $L_c^{-1}[f](-x;n,T)^c$ on the Banach space $H_{N(f)+1}$ weakly converges to the linear continuous functional on $H_{N(f)+1}$. Therefore the sequence of tempered distributions $L_c^{-1}[f](-x;n,T) \in S'(\mathbf{R})$ weakly converges to the tempered distribution from $S'(\mathbf{R})$. Hence due to [6, Section 3.7] the sequence of tempered distributions $L_c^{-1}[f](-x;n,T)$ coverges in topology of the space $S'(\mathbf{R})$. Now it is easy to prove that the tempered distribution $L_c^{-1}[f](-x) \equiv L_c^{-1}[f](-x;\infty,-\infty) \in S'(\mathbf{R})$ is positive and its support is in the positive semiaxis.

Let us prove at first that the set of the functions $(1+x)^{-N(f)-k-1}$, $k = 0,1,\ldots$, is dense in the Banach space $H_{N(f)+1}$. For any function $\phi(x) \in S(\mathbf{R})$ the function $\hat{\phi}(t) = \phi(\tan^2 t)(\cos t)^{-2(N(f)+1)}$ is continuous on the interval $(-\pi/2,\pi/2)$. Due to a function $\phi(x) \in S(\mathbf{R})$ the function $\hat{\phi}(t)$ may be continued on the closed interval $[-\pi/2,\pi/2]$ by setting $\hat{\phi}(-\pi/2) = \hat{\phi}(\pi/2) = 0$. Hence the Weierstrass theorem implies that the periodic continuous function $\hat{\phi}(t)$ on the closed interval $[-\pi/2,\pi/2]$ is approximated by the trigonometric polynomial $\sum b_m \exp\{2mit\}$. Since the function $\hat{\phi}(t)$ is even it is approximated by the trigonometric polynomial $\sum b_m \cos 2mt$. The function $\cos 2mt$ is the polynomial of the variable $\cos^2 t$. Therefore for every positive ϵ there exists the polynomial $\sum a_m \cos^{2m} t$ such that the modulus of the function $\hat{\phi}(t) - \sum a_m \cos^{2m} t$ on the closed interval $[-\pi/2,\pi/2]$ is less than ϵ. Due to the relation $\cos^{2m} t = (1+\tan^2 t)^{-m}$ it implies that

$$\sup_{x\geq 0}(1+x)^{N(f)+1}|\phi(x) - \sum a_m(1+x)^{-N(f)-m-1}| < \epsilon \tag{2.26}$$

Thus the set of the functions $(1+x)^{-N(f)-k-1}$, $k = 0,1,\ldots$, is dense in the Banach space $H_{N(f)+1}$, as the space $S(\mathbf{R})$ is dense.

At last let us prove the existence of the limit (2.25) for every integer $N > N(f)$. If a function $h(x) \in D(\mathbf{R}_+)$ then for sufficiently large modulus of the negative number T a function $h(x-T) \in D(\mathbf{R}_-)$. By using the definition (2.13), the identity (2.2) and the estimation (2.3) we can rewrite the expression (2.24) for sufficiently large modulus of the negative number T in the following form

$$C_{n,T}(N) = B_{n,T}(N) - (n!)^{-1}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-k}(\frac{d^{n-k}f}{dx^{n-k}}(x), h(x-T)\frac{d^k}{dx^k}(x^{n+N}(x-n)^{-N})), \tag{2.27}$$

where the constant

$$B_{n,T}(N) = \lim_{\alpha\to+0}(\frac{df}{dx}(x), \theta(-x)\exp\{\alpha x^{-1}\}h_T(x)\chi_{n,N}(-x^{-1})) \tag{2.28}$$

and the function

$$\chi_{n,N}(x) = (n!)^{-1}\frac{d^n}{dy^n}(y^{n+N}(y-n)^{-N})\ |_{y=-x^{-1}}\ . \tag{2.29}$$

It follows from the identity (2.2) that

$$x^{k-n}\frac{d^n}{dx^n}(x^{n+N}(x-n)^{-N}) = [\prod_{j=1}^{k}(n+1-j-y\frac{d}{dy})](1+y)^{-N}\ |_{y=-nx^{-1}}\ . \tag{2.30}$$

Now it is easy to show that the expression (2.30) is bounded on the closed negative semiaxis. Hence the absolutely monotonicity of the distribution $f(x) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ and the relations (2.7), (2.27) imply that

$$\lim_{T\to-\infty} C_{n,T}(N) = \lim_{T\to-\infty} B_{n,T}(N). \tag{2.31}$$

Let us prove that the numbers $B_{n,T}(N)$ for $N > N(f)$ form the Cauchy sequence when $n \to \infty$, $T \to -\infty$. In view of the equality (2.31) it implies the existence of the limit (2.25). If $T_2 \le T_1$, then for the sufficiently large modulus of the negative number T_1 the positive function $h_{T_2}(x) - h_{T_1}(x) \in D(\mathbf{R}_-)$ and by the positivity of the distribution $\frac{df}{dx}(x) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ the relation (2.28) implies the following estimation

$$|B_{n,T_2}(N) - B_{n,T_1}(N)| \le (f(x), h(x-T_1) - h(x-T_2)) \sup_{x\ge0} |\chi_{n,N}(x)|. \tag{2.32}$$

In virtue of relation (2.6) the first multiplier in the right–hand side of the inequality (2.32) converges to zero when $T_1, T_2 \to -\infty$. Due to the positivity of the distribution $\frac{df}{dx}(x) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ we find from the relation (2.28)

$$\begin{aligned} &|B_{n_2,T}(N) - B_{n_1,T}(N)| \le \\ &\lim_{\alpha\to+0} (\frac{df}{dx}(x), \theta(-x)\exp\{\alpha x^{-1}\} h_T(x)(1-x^{-1})^{-N(f)-1}) \times \\ &\sup_{x\ge0} (1+x)^{N(f)+1} |\chi_{n_2,N}(x) - \chi_{n_1,N}(x)|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

We denote the first multiplier in the right–hand side of the inequality (2.33) by $A(T)$. The numbers $A(T)$ form the Cauchy sequence when $T \to -\infty$ and consequently the numbers $A(T)$ are uniformly bounded on the negative semiaxis. The proof of this is exactly analogous to that of the inequality (2.32). If we prove that the functions (2.29) converge in norm $|\bullet|_{N(f)+1}$ to the function

$$\chi_{\infty,N}(x) = ((N-1)!)^{-1} \int_0^{x^{-1}} t^{N-1} e^{-t} dt \tag{2.34}$$

when $n \to \infty$, the inequalities (2.32) and (2.33) provide us that the numbers $B_{n,T}(N)$ form the Cauchy sequence when $n \to \infty$, $T \to -\infty$.

By the definition (2.29) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{n,N}(x) = \frac{(n+N)!}{n!(N-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \frac{(1+nx)^{-n-N+k}}{n+N-k} = \\ \frac{(n+N)!}{n^N n!(N-1)!} \int_0^{(n^{-1}+x)^{-1}} t^{N-1} (1-tn^{-1})^n dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

The inequality $t^{N-1}e^{-t} \le x^{-N-1}t^{-2}$ holds on the interval $[(n^{-1}+x)^{-1}, x^{-1}]$, where $x, n > 0$. It implies the following estimation

$$\sup_{1\le x<\infty}(1+x)^{N(f)+1}\int_{(n^{-1}+x)^{-1}}^{x^{-1}} t^{N-1}e^{-t}dt \le n^{-1}2^{N(f)+1}. \tag{2.36}$$

Here we use the inequality $(1+x)^{N(f)+1}x^{-N-1} \le 2^{N(f)+1}$ valid on the semiaxis $[1,\infty)$ for $N \ge N(f)$. The maximal value of the function $t^{N+1}e^{-t}$ on the positive semiaxis is equal to $((N+1)e^{-1})^{N+1}$. Hence by using the inequality $(1+x)^{N(f)+1} \le 2^{N(f)+1}$ for $0 \le x \le 1$ we obtain

$$\sup_{0\le x\le 1}(1+x)^{N(f)+1}\int_{(n^{-1}+x)^{-1}}^{x^{-1}} t^{N-1}e^{-t}dt \le n^{-1}2^{N(f)+1}((N+1)e^{-1})^{N+1}. \tag{2.37}$$

For any positive number x and for a natural number $n > 0$ the number $(n^{-1}+x)^{-1} \le n$. Then the equalities (2.34), (2.35) and the estimation (2.37) imply that

$$\begin{gathered}\sup_{0\le x\le 1}(1+x)^{N(f)+1}|\chi_{\infty,N}(x) - \frac{n^N n!}{(n+N)!}\chi_{n,N}(x)| \le \\ 2^{N(f)+1}((N-1)!)^{-1}[n^{-1}((N+1)e^{-1})^{N+1} + \\ \int_0^\infty (1+s^2)^{-1}ds \sup_{0\le t<\infty}(1+t^2)t^{N-1}|e^{-t}-(1-tn^{-1})_+^n|],\end{gathered} \tag{2.38}$$

where the function x_+^n is equal to x^n for $x \ge 0$ and it is equal to zero, otherwise. It follows from the equalities (2.34), (2.35) and the estimation (2.36) for $N > N(f)$ that

$$\begin{gathered}\sup_{1\le x<\infty}(1+x)^{N(f)+1}|\chi_{\infty,N}(x) - \frac{n^N n!}{(n+N)!}\chi_{n,N}(x)| \le \\ 2^{N(f)+1}((N-1)!)^{-1}\left[n^{-1} + N^{-1}\sup_{0\le t<\infty}|e^{-t}-(1-tn^{-1})_+^n|\right].\end{gathered} \tag{2.39}$$

For a natural number $n > 1$ the function x_+^n is differentiable everywhere. The maximal value of the function $\exp\{-t\} - (1-tn^{-1})_+^n$ on the positive semiaxis is at the point a_0 satisfying the equation $\exp\{-a_0\} = (1-a_0n^{-1})_+^{n-1}$. This equation implies

$$\sup_{0\le t<\infty}|e^{-t}-(1-tn^{-1})_+^n| = |e^{-a_0}-(1-a_0n^{-1})_+^n| = n^{-1}a_0e^{-a_0} \le (ne)^{-1}. \tag{2.40}$$

For the natural numbers k and $n > 1$ the maximal value of the function $t^k(e^{-t}-(1-tn^{-1})_+^n)$ on the positive semiaxis is at the point a_k satisfying the following equation

$$ka_k^{k-1}(e^{-a_k}-(1-a_kn^{-1})_+^n) = a_k^k(e^{-a_k}-(1-a_kn^{-1})_+^{n-1}). \tag{2.41}$$

If $a_k \le k+1$ the inequality (2.40) implies the estimation

$$\sup_{0\le t<\infty} t^k|e^{-t}-(1-tn^{-1})_+^n| \le (k+1)^k(ne)^{-1}. \tag{2.42}$$

If $a_k \ge k+1$ by using the equation (2.41) we get

$$\sup_{0\leq t<\infty} t^k|e^{-t}-(1-tn^{-1})_+^n| = n^{-1}((1+n^{-1}k)a_k-k)^{-1}a_k^{k+2}e^{-a_k} <$$
$$n^{-1}a_k^{k+2}e^{-a_k} \leq n^{-1}((k+2)e^{-1})^{k+2}. \tag{2.43}$$

In order to get the first inequality (2.43) we use the inequality $(1+n^{-1}k)a_k - k > 1$ valid for $a_k \geq k+1$. It follows from the estimations (2.38), (2.39), (2.40), (2.42) and (2.43) that the functions (2.35) converge in norm $|\bullet|_{N(f)+1}$ to the function (2.34). Therefore Proposition 2.4 is proved.

By the definitions (2.19) and (2.20) the tempered distribution $L_c^{-1}[f](-x) \in S'(\mathbf{R})$ is positive and its support is in the positive semiaxis. Now Theorem 2 from [7, Chapter 2, Section 2.2] implies that the positive tempered distribution is given by the positive measure. This positive measure has tempered growth and its support is in the positive semiaxis.

Theorem 2.5. *For any absolutely monotonic distribution $f(x) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ the following representation*

$$(f(x),\phi(x)) = L_0^{-1}[f]\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\phi(x)dx + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L_c^{-1}[f](-p)dp\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{px}\phi(x)dx \tag{2.44}$$

is valid for any function $\phi(x) \in D(\mathbf{R}_-)$. Here the positive number $L_0^{-1}[f]$ is given by the relation (2.6), *the positive measure $L_c^{-1}[f](-p)$ with tempered growth and support in the positive semiaxis is defined by the relations* (2.19), (2.20).

Proof. Let a distribution $f(x) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ be absolutely monotonic. Then in view of Lemma 2.3 the relation (2.14) holds for any function $\phi(x) \in D(\mathbf{R}_-)$ and for any integer $k = n+1$, where n is a natural number. Note that

$$(-1)^{n+1}(\frac{d^{n+1}f}{dx^{n+1}}(x), h_T(x)\phi^{(-n-1)}(x)) = \tag{2.45}$$
$$(n!)^{-1}((-x)^n\frac{d^{n+1}f}{dx^{n+1}}(x), h_T(x)L_n[\phi](-nx^{-1})),$$

where the function

$$L_n[\phi](x) = \int_{-nx^{-1}}^{0}(1+n^{-1}xy)^n\phi(y)dy. \tag{2.46}$$

We take into account that the function $\phi(x)$ has the support in the negative semiaxis.

Due to the inequality (2.23) for $N = N(f)+1$ the tempered distribution $L_c^{-1}[f](-x;n,T)$, defined by the equality (2.19), is continued to the linear continuous functional $L_c^{-1}[f](-x;n,T)^c$ on the Banach $H_{N(f)+1}$. Let us prove that the function (2.46) converge as $n\to\infty$ in norm $|\bullet|_{N(f)+1}$ to the Laplace transform of the function $\phi(-x)$. It is straightforward to show that

$$|\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{xy}\phi(y)dy - L_n[\phi](x)|_{N(f)+1} \leq \tag{2.47}$$
$$\int_{-\infty}^{0}|\phi(y)|dy \sup_{0\leq x<\infty}(1+x)^{N(f)+1}|e^{xy}-(1+n^{-1}xy)_+^n|.$$

The right–hand side of the inequality (2.47) is majorized by the sum

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N(f)+1} \frac{(N(f)+1)!}{k!(N(f)+1-k)!} \int_{-\infty}^{0} |y|^{-k}|\phi(y)|dy \sup_{0\le t<\infty} t^k|e^t - (1+n^{-1}t)_+^n|. \tag{2.48}$$

Due to the estimations (2.42), (2.43) the sum (2.48) converges to zero as $n \to \infty$. Thus we have proved that the right–hand side of the equality (2.45) converges to

$$(L_c^{-1}[f](-x), \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{xy}\phi(y)dy). \tag{2.49}$$

Now the relation (2.14) implies the equality (2.44).

For O an open set in $\mathbf{R}^n$, $S(O)$ denotes the subspace of $S(\mathbf{R}^n)$ of functions with support in the closure $\overline{O}$, given the induced topology. For example $S(\mathbf{R}_-)$ denotes the subspace of $S(\mathbf{R})$ of functions with support in the negative semiaxis, given the induced topology. Similarly $S(\mathbf{R}_+)$ denotes the subspace of $S(\mathbf{R})$ of functions with support in the positive semiaxis, given the induced topology. It follows from Theorem 2.5 that every absolutely monotonic distribution $f(x) \in D'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ may be extended to the tempered distribution from $S'(\mathbf{R}_-)$.

We remind that a tempered distribution $g(t) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ is called absolutely monotonic if it satisfies the conditions (1.3) for all $m = 0, 1, \ldots$.

Corollary 2.6. *The tempered distribution $f(x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_+)$ is the Laplace transform of a tempered distribution with support in the positive semiaxis if and only if there exists the natural number k such that*

$$(-x)^{-k}f(-x) = g_1(x) - g_2(x), \tag{2.50}$$

where the tempered distribuions $g_j(x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_-)$, $j = 1, 2$, are absolutely monotonic.

Proof. Due to Theorem from [6, Section 3.8] a tempered distribution with support in the positive semiaxis may be written as

$$g(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{d^m}{dx^m}\mu_m(x), \tag{2.51}$$

where $\mu_m(x)$ are the measures with tempered growth and with supports in the positive semiaxis.

It is easy to verify that $(m!)^{-1}(\frac{d}{dx})^{m+1}x_+^m = \delta(x)$. Hence the relation (2.51) implies

$$g(x) = \frac{d^k}{dx^k}[\sum_{m=0}^{k-1}((k-m-1)!)^{-1}x_+^{k-m-1} * \mu_m(x)], \tag{2.52}$$

where $*$ denotes the convolution of two tempered distributions with supports in the positive semiaxis. If we represent the measure in the right–hand side of the equality (2.52) as the difference of two positive measures with tempered growth and with supports in the positive semiaxis we get

$$g(x) = \frac{d^k}{dx^k}[\nu_1(x) - \nu_2(x)]. \tag{2.53}$$

Taking the Laplace transform of the equality (2.53) and dividing it by x^k we obtain the equality (2.50), where x is replaced by $-x$.

It is straightforward to show that the equality (2.50) and Theorem 2.5 imply that the tempered distribution $f(x)$ is the Laplace transform of a tempered distribution with support in the positive semiaxis.

Let x denote a point in $\mathbf{R}^4$ with coordinates $(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) = (x^0, \mathbf{x})$. A point in $\mathbf{R}^{4n}$ will be written as $\underline{x} = (x_1, ..., x_n)$, $x_i \in \mathbf{R}^4$. We will use the following open set $\mathbf{R}^{4n}_+ = \{\underline{x} \in \mathbf{R}^{4n} | x^0_j > 0, j = 1, ..., n\}$.

Theorem 2.7. *Let the tempered distribution $f(x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}^{4n}_+)$ be the Laplace transform with respect to the time variables of a tempered distribution with support in the closure $\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{4n}_+$. Then there is the natural number K such that for any integers $k > K$, $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_l \leq n$, $1 \leq l \leq n$ and for all test functions $\phi_j(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4)$, $j \in \{j_1, ...j_l\}$; $\phi_i(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $i \neq j_1, ...j_l$, there exists the limit*

$$\int d^{4n}x L_c^{-1}[(\prod_{m=1}^{l} x^0_{j_m})^{-k} f]_{x^0_{\underline{j}}}(\underline{x}) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i(x_i) =$$

$$\lim_{n_1,...,n_l \to \infty, n_i \in \mathbf{Z}} \lim_{T_1,...,T_l \to -\infty, T_i \in \mathbf{R}} \int d^{4n}x (\prod_{m=1}^{l} x^0_{j_m})^{-k} f(\underline{x}) \times$$

$$(\prod_{i=1, i \neq j_1,...,j_l}^{n} \phi_i(x_i)) \prod_{m=1}^{l} L_c^{-1}[\phi_{j_m}]_{x^0_{j_m}}(x_{j_m}; n_m, T_m), \tag{2.54}$$

$$L_c^{-1}[\phi]_{x^0}(x; n, T) = (n!)^{-1} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0})^{n+1} ((x^0)^n \theta(x^0) h_T(-x^0) \phi(n(x^0)^{-1}, \mathbf{x})),$$

where the function $h_T(x^0)$ is given by the equality (2.13).

The limit (2.54) *defines the inversion formula for the Laplace transformation:*

$$(f(\underline{x}), \prod_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i(x_i)) = \int d^{4n}x ((\prod_{m=1}^{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0_{j_m}})^k L_c^{-1}[(\prod_{m=1}^{l} x^0_{j_m})^{-k} f]_{x^0_{\underline{j}}}(\underline{x})) \times$$

$$\int_0^\infty dy^0_{j_1} ... \int_0^\infty dy^0_{j_m} \exp\{- \sum_{m=1}^{l} x^0_{j_m} y^0_{j_m}\} (\prod_{m=1}^{l} \phi_{j_m}(y^0_{j_m}, \mathbf{x}_{j_m})) \prod_{i=1, i \neq j_1,...,j_l}^{n} \phi_i(x_i) \tag{2.55}$$

for all functions $\phi_i(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$, $i = 1$,..., n, and for any integer $k > K$.

Proof. Theorem from [6, Section 3.8] implies that a tempered distribution with support in the closure $\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{4n}_+$ has the following form

$$g(\underline{x}) = \sum_{|\underline{m}| \leq K-1} (\frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{y}})^{\underline{m}} \mu_{\underline{m}}(\underline{y}), \tag{2.56}$$

where we use the standard multiindex notations and $\mu_{\underline{m}}(\underline{y})$ are the measures with tempered growth and supports in $\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{4n}_+$. Similarly to the proof of Corollary 2.6 the relation (2.56) may be written as

$$g(\underline{x}) = \Big(\prod_{s=1}^{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^0_{j_s}}\Big)^K \sum_{|\underline{m}|\leq K-1, m^0_{j_1}=...=m^0_{j_l}=0} \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{y}}\Big)^{\underline{m}} \nu_{\underline{m}}(\underline{y}), \tag{2.57}$$

where $\nu_{\underline{m}}(\underline{y})$ are the measures with tempered growth and supports in $\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{4n}_+$. Let us integrate the distribution (2.57) with the test function which is the product of the funtions: $\exp\{-x^0_j y^0_j\}\phi_j(\mathbf{y}_j)$, $\phi_j(\mathbf{y}) \in S(\mathbf{R}^3)$, $j \in \{j_1, ..., j_l\}$, and $\exp\{-x^0_i y^0_i\}\phi_i(x^0_i, \mathbf{y}_i)$, $\phi_i(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $i \neq j_1, ..., j_l$. Let us divide the obtained integral by $(x^0_{j_1} \cdots x^0_{j_l})^K$. If the distribution $f(\underline{x})$ is the Laplace transform of the tempered distribution (2.57) with respect to time variables we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(\prod_{m=1}^{l} x^0_{j_m}\Big)^{-K} \int \Big(\prod_{i=1, i\neq j_1,...,j_l}^{n} dx^0_i\Big) d^{3n}\mathbf{x} f(\underline{x}) \Big(\prod_{m=1}^{l} \phi_{j_m}(\mathbf{x}_{j_m})\Big) \prod_{i=1, i\neq j_1,...,j_l}^{n} \phi_i(x_i) = \\ &\int d\nu(y_1, ..., y_l) \exp\{-\sum_{m=1}^{l} x^0_{j_m} y_m\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.58}$$

where the measure $\nu(y_1, ..., y_l)$ with support in $(\overline{\mathbf{R}}_+)^{\times l}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d\nu(y_1, ..., y_l)\psi(y_1, ..., y_l) = \sum_{|\underline{m}|\leq K-1, m^0_{j_1}=...=m^0_{j_l}=0} \int d\nu_{\underline{m}}(\underline{y}) \psi(y^0_{j_1}, ..., y^0_{j_l}) \times \\ &\Big(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{y}}\Big)^{\underline{m}} \Big[\Big(\prod_{m=1}^{l} \phi_{j_m}(\mathbf{y}_{j_m})\Big)\Big(\prod_{i=1, i\neq j_1,...,j_l}^{n} \int dx^0_i \exp\{-x^0_i y^0_i\}\phi_i(x^0_i, \mathbf{y}_i)\Big)\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{2.59}$$

The measure $\nu(y_1, ..., y_l)$ is the difference $\nu_1(y_1, ..., y_l) - \nu_2(y_1, ..., y_l)$ of two positive measures with tempered growth and supports in $(\overline{\mathbf{R}}_+)^{\times l}$. Then the left–hand side of the equality (2.58) is equal to

$$\int [d\nu_1(y_1, ..., y_l) - d\nu_2(y_1, ..., y_l)] \exp\{-\sum_{m=1}^{l} x^0_{j_m} y_m\}. \tag{2.60}$$

The expression (2.60), considered as the function on $(\overline{\mathbf{R}}_-)^{\times l}$, is the difference $f_1(x^0_{j_1}, ..., x^0_{j_l}) - f_2(x^0_{j_1}, ..., x^0_{j_l})$ of two absolutely monotonic with respect each variable distributions from $S'((\overline{\mathbf{R}}_-)^{\times l})$.

The arguments of the proof of Proposition 2.4 lead to the existence of the limit (2.54) for any test function which is the product of the functions: $\psi_j(x^0_j)\phi_j(\mathbf{x}_j)$, where $\psi_j(x^0) \in S(\mathbf{R})$, $\phi_j(\mathbf{x}) \in S(\mathbf{R}^3)$, $j = j_1, ..., j_l$, and $\phi_i(x_i) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $i \neq j_1, ..., j_l$. Since the weak convergence in S' implies the convergence in the topology of the space S' (see [6, Section 3.7]) the limit is the polylinear functional continuous in each variable. Now the nuclear theorem [7, Chapter 1, Section 1, Theorem 6] implies the existence of the distribution $L_c^{-1}[(\prod_{m=1}^{l} x^0_{j_m})^{-K} f]_{x^0_j}(\underline{x})$ and the equality (2.54) for any test function which is the product of the functions $\phi_j(x_j) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4)$, $j = j_1, ..., j_l$, and $\phi_i(x_i) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $i \neq j_1, ..., j_l$. We use this set of the functions in order to avoid the cumbersome notations. For the special case $l = n$ the notations are simple: $L_c^{-1}[(\prod_{m=1}^{l} x_m^0)^{-K} f]_{\underline{x}^0}(\underline{x}) \in S'(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$ and its support is in $\overline{\mathbf{R}}_+^{4n}$. The equality (2.54) is valid in this case for any test function $\phi(\underline{x}) \in S(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$.

For the absolutely monotonic tempered distribution $g(x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ the tempered distribution $(-x)^{-m} g(x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ is absolutely monotonic for any natural number m. It is possible therefore to divide the expression (2.60) by $(x_{j_1}^0 \cdots x_{j_l}^0)^{k-K}$ and to prove the above results for any integer $k > K$. For the integer $k > K$ Lemma 2.2 implies that the limits of type (2.6) are equal to zero. Then applying the arguments of the proof of Theorem 2.5 we obtain the equality (2.55).

3 Exponentially convex distributions

Due to S.Bernstein [4] we call a tempered distribution $f(x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_+)$ exponentially convex if it satisfies the positivity condition (1.1) for any function $\phi(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}_+)$.

Proposition 3.1. *For any exponentially convex tempered distribution $f(x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_+)$ the tempered distribution $f(-x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ is absolutely monotonic.*

Proof. Let us introduce the convolution function

$$\overline{\phi} * \phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\phi(x-y)} \phi(y) dy. \tag{3.1}$$

For $\phi(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}_+)$ the function $\overline{\phi} * \phi(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}_+)$. The condition (1.1) may be rewritten as $(f(x), \overline{\phi} * \phi(x)) \geq 0$. Let $F[\phi](p)$ be the Fourier transform of the function $\phi(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}_+)$. The definition (3.1) implies the following equality $F[\overline{\phi} * \phi](p) = \overline{F[\phi](-p)} F[\phi](p)$.

By $FS(\mathbf{R}_+)$ we denote the space of all analytic in the open upper half plane and infinitely differentiable in the closed upper half plane functions $\psi(z)$ such that the seminorms of the form

$$\sup_{\mathrm{Im} z \geq 0} (1 + |z|)^n \left|\frac{d^m \psi}{dz^m}(z)\right| \tag{3.2}$$

are finite for all positive integers m and n. The topology of $FS(\mathbf{R}_+)$ is given by the set of the seminorms (3.2).

Let us prove that the Fourier transformation defines an isomorphism between two topological spaces: $S(\mathbf{R}_+)$ and $FS(\mathbf{R}_+)$. Fourier transform $F[\phi](x)$ of a function $\phi(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}_+)$ has an analytical continuation $F[\phi](z)$ into the open upper half plane. The function $F[\phi](z)$ is infinitely differentiable in the closed upper half plane. The inequality $y^k \exp\{-yt\} \leq C(k) t^{-k}$, valid for $t > 0$, $y \geq 0$ implies the following estimation

$$\begin{gathered}\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}, y \geq 0} \left|x^{k_1} y^{k_2} \frac{d^m F[\phi]}{dz^m}(x + iy)\right| \leq \\ C \sup_{t > 0, 0 \leq l \leq k_1} (1 + t^2) t^{-k_2 - l} \left|\frac{d^{k_1 - l}}{dt^{k_1 - l}}(t^m \phi(t))\right|.\end{gathered} \tag{3.3}$$

Therefore the Fourier transformation defines the continuous mapping of the space $S(\mathbf{R}_+)$ into the space $FS(\mathbf{R}_+)$. For a function $\psi(z) \in FS(\mathbf{R}_+)$ its restriction $\psi(x)$ on the real axis belongs to the Schwartz space $S(\mathbf{R})$. A straightforward application of Cauchy's theorem shows that the inverse Fourier transform $F^{-1}[\psi](p)$ of the function $\psi(x)$ may be rewritten for $y > 0$ as

$$F^{-1}[\psi](p) = (2\pi)^{-1} e^{py} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\{-ipx\} \psi(x+iy) dx. \tag{3.4}$$

Since any seminorm (3.2) is finite we get $F^{-1}[\psi](p) = 0$ for $p < 0$ by tending y in (3.4) to infinity. Hence $F^{-1}[\psi](p) \in S(\mathbf{R}_+)$. The inverse Fourier transformation is the topological isomorphism of the Schwartz space $S(\mathbf{R})$. Any seminorm of the Schwartz space $S(\mathbf{R})$ on the subspace $FS(\mathbf{R}_+)$ is majorized by a corresponding seminorm of the type (3.2). Thus the inverse Fourier transformation is the mapping of the space $FS(\mathbf{R}_+)$ into the space $S(\mathbf{R}_+)$.

For any natural number k and for any number $\alpha > 0$ we define the function

$$\begin{aligned} & F[\chi_\alpha](z) = ((\alpha + z/i)^{1/2})^k \exp\{-\alpha(1+z/i)^{1/2}\}, \\ & (a+z/i)^{1/2} = (x^2 + (a+y)^2)^{1/4} \exp\{-i/2 \arctan[x(a+y)^{-1}]\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

holomorphic in the open upper half plane. Due to the estimation $\mathrm{Re}(1+z/i)^{1/2} \geq (|z|/2)^{1/2}$, valid in the closed upper half plane, the function (3.5) belongs to the space $FS(\mathbf{R}_+)$. Hence its inverse Fourier transform $\chi_\alpha(x)$ belongs to the space $S(\mathbf{R}_+)$, as the function $\chi_\alpha(x-t)$ for any positive number t. Therefore the convolution function $\overline{\chi}_\alpha * \chi_\alpha(x-2t) = \overline{\chi_\alpha(\bullet - t)} * \chi_\alpha(\bullet - t)(x)$ belongs to the space $S(\mathbf{R}_+)$ for any $t \geq 0$. Now the positivity condition (1.1) for the exponentially convex tempered distribution $f(x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_+)$ implies the inequality $(f(x), \overline{\chi}_\alpha * \chi_\alpha(x-2t)) \geq 0$ for any $t \geq 0$. By integration of this inequality with positive function $1/2\phi(2t) \in S(\mathbf{R}_+)$ we obtain

$$(f(x), (\overline{\chi}_\alpha * \chi_\alpha) * \phi(x)) \geq 0. \tag{3.6}$$

In view of the definition (3.5) we get $\overline{F[\chi_\alpha](-x)} = F[\chi_\alpha](x)$. Now it is easy to show that

$$(\overline{\chi}_\alpha * \chi_\alpha) * \phi(x) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp e^{-ipx} F[\phi](p) (F[\chi_\alpha](p))^2 \tag{3.7}$$

When $\alpha \to +0$ the functions $F[\phi](z)(F[\chi_\alpha](z))^2$ converge to the function $(z/i)^k F[\phi](z)$ in the topology of the space $FS(\mathbf{R}_+)$. Then the left–hand side of the equality (3.7) converges to the function $\frac{d^k\phi}{dx^k}(x)$ in the topology of the space $S(\mathbf{R}_+)$ as $\alpha \to +0$. This implies that the inequality (3.6) converges as $\alpha \to +0$ to the inequality

$$(-1)^k (\frac{d^k f}{dx^k}(x), \phi(x)) \geq 0. \tag{3.8}$$

It follows from the inequalities (3.8) for arbitrary natural numbers k and for arbitrary positive functions $\phi(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}_+)$ that the tempered distribution $f(-x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_-)$ is absolutely monotonic.

The distribution $f(x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$ satisfies the Osterwalder–Schrader positivity condition, if for any function $\phi(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$

$$\int d^4x d^4y f(x^0 + y^0, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \overline{\phi(x)} \phi(y) \geq 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Therefore the Osterwalder–Schrader positivity condition (3.9) is the condition of exponentially convexity with respect to the time variable and it is the condition of positively definiteness with respect to the space variables. By using the proof of Proposition 3.1 and the proof of Theorem 1 from [7, Chapter 2, Section 3.1] it is possible to show that the Fourier transform $F_{\mathbf{x}}[f](x^0,\mathbf{x})$ with respect to the space variables of the distrirution $f(x)\in S'(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$, satisfying the condition (3.9), satisfies the following condition

$$(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0})^n F_{\mathbf{x}}[f](x^0,\mathbf{x})\geq 0 \tag{3.10}$$

for any natural number $n=0,1,...$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let tempered distribution* $f(x)\in S'(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$ *satisfy the Osterwalder–Schrader positivity condition* (3.9) *then for any function* $\phi(x)\in S(\mathbf{R}^4_-)$

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty}(f(x),\phi(-x^0-t,\mathbf{x}))=\int_{\mathbf{R^3}}L_0^{-1}[f]_{x^0}(\mathbf{x})d^3\mathbf{x}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\phi(x^0,\mathbf{x})dx^0, \tag{3.11}$$

where the tempered distribution $L_0^{-1}[f]_{x^0}(\mathbf{x})\in S'(\mathbf{R}^3)$ *is positively definite, and for any natural number* $k=1,2,...$

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty}t^k((\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0})^k f(x),\phi(-x^0-t,\mathbf{x}))=0. \tag{3.12}$$

If the tempered distribution $f(x)\in S'(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$ *satisfies the Osterwalder–Schrader positivity condition* (3.9) *the tempered distribution* $(x^0)^{-1}f(x)\in S'(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$ *satisfies the inequalities* (3.10) *and the limits* (3.11), (3.12) *for this distribution are equal to zero.*

Proof. Let the Fourier transform $F_{\mathbf{x}}[\phi](x^0,\mathbf{x})$ with respect to the space variables of a function $\phi(x)\in S(\mathbf{R}^4_-)$ be a positive function. Then by the straightforward application of the inequalities (3.10) and by the proof of Lemma 2.2 we can prove the relations (3.12) and the existence of the limit (3.11).

Due to the Theorem 2 from [7, Chapter 2, Section 2.2] the inequalities (3.10) imply the following estimation for any function $\phi(x)\in S(\mathbf{R}^4_-)$

$$|((\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0})^n f(x),\phi(-x^0,\mathbf{x}))|\leq C\sup_{x^0\leq 0,\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{R}^3}(1+|x|^2)^p|F_{\mathbf{x}}[\phi](x^0,\mathbf{x})|, \tag{3.13}$$

where the numbers C and p depend on the natural number $n=0,1,2,...$. Let $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ be an infinitely differentiable positive function with a compact support and let it be equal to one into some neighbourhood of zero. For a function $\phi(x)\in S(\mathbf{R}^4_-)$ we define $M=\sup|F_{\mathbf{x}}[\phi](x)|$. The difference of two positive functions from $S(\mathbf{R}^4_-)$:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathbf{x}}[\phi_{1m}](x)&=\exp\{m^{-1}(x^0+(x^0)^{-1})\}\theta(-x^0)\alpha(m^{-1}\mathbf{x})M \\ F_{\mathbf{x}}[\phi_{2m}](x)&=\exp\{m^{-1}(x^0+(x^0)^{-1})\}\theta(-x^0)\alpha(m^{-1}\mathbf{x})(M-F_{\mathbf{x}}[\phi](x)) \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

converges as $m\to\infty$ to the function $F_{\mathbf{x}}[\phi](x)\in S(\mathbf{R}^4_-)$ in the norm (3.13). Due to the inequality (3.13) it implies now the relations (3.12) and the existence of the limit (3.11) for any function $\phi(x)\in S(\mathbf{R}^4_-)$.

Let the integral of a positive function $h(x^0)\in S(\mathbf{R}_-)$ be equal to one. Any function $\phi(x)\in S(\mathbf{R}^4_-)$ may be represented as

$$\phi(x) = h(x^0)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\phi(y^0,\mathbf{x})dy^0 + \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^0}(x), \tag{3.15}$$

where the function $\psi(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4_-)$. The existence of the limit (3.11) and the equalities (3.15) and (3.12) for $k = 1$ provide the equality (3.11) for any function $\phi(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4_-)$. In virtue of the inequality (3.10) for $n = 0$ the tempered distribution $L_0^{-1}[f]_{x^0}(\mathbf{x})$ is positively definite. The proof of the last part of Lemma 3.2 follows the arguments of Lemma 2.2.

For any function $\phi(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4_-)$ and for any integer $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, we define the function

$$\phi_{x^0}^{(-k)}(x) = -((k-1)!)^{-1}\int_{x^0}^{\infty}(x^0 - y^0)^{k-1}\phi(y^0,\mathbf{x})dy^0 \tag{3.16}$$

and $\phi_{x^0}^{(0)}(x) = \phi(x)$. The definition (3.16) is quite similar to the definition (2.12). The infinitely differentiable function (3.16) equals zero for $x^0 > 0$. It is easy to see that $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0})^l \phi_{x^0}^{(-k)}(x) = \phi_{x^0}^{(l-k)}(x)$ for $l \leq k$. Let a positive function $h_T(x^0)$ be given by the relation (2.13) for some positive function $h(x^0) \in D(\mathbf{R}_-)$, having the integral equal one.

Lemma 3.3. *Let a tempered distribution* $f(x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$ *satisfy the Osterwalder–Schrader positivity condition* (3.9). *Then for any function* $\phi(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4_-)$ *and for any integer* $k = 1, 2, \ldots$

$$\begin{gathered}\lim_{T\to-\infty}((\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0})^k f(x), h_T(-x^0)\phi_{x^0}^{(-k)}(-x^0,\mathbf{x})) = \\ (f(x),\phi(-x^0,\mathbf{x})) - \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} L_0^{-1}[f]_{x^0}(\mathbf{x})\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\phi(x^0,\mathbf{x})dx^0,\end{gathered} \tag{3.17}$$

where the positively definite tempered distribution $L_0^{-1}[f]_{x^0}(\mathbf{x}) \in S'(\mathbf{R}^3)$ *is defined by the equality* (3.11).

The proof of Lemma 3.3 is exactly analogous to that of Lemma 2.3 and can be omitted.

For a tempered distribution $f(x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$ satisfying the Osterwalder–Schrader positivity condition (3.9) we define a functional on the space $S(\mathbf{R}^4)$ by the following relation

$$\begin{gathered}(L_c^{-1}[f]_{x^0}(x;n,T),\phi(x)) = (f(x), L_c^{-1}[\phi]_{x^0}(x;n,T)) = \\ (n!)^{-1}((x^0)^n(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0})^{n+1}f(x^0,\mathbf{x}),\theta(x^0)h_T(-x^0)\phi(n(x^0)^{-1},\mathbf{x})),\end{gathered} \tag{3.18}$$

where n is a positive integer and the function $h_T(x^0)$ is given by the equality (2.13). It is easy to prove that the tempered distribution $F_{\mathbf{x}}[L_c^{-1}[f]_{x^0}(\bullet;n,T)](x)$ is positive and its support is in the closure of $\mathbf{R}^4_+$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let a tempered distribution* $f(x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$ *satisfy the Osterwalder–Schrader positivity condition* (3.9). *Then in the topological space* $S'(\mathbf{R}^4)$ *there exists the limit*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\lim_{T\to-\infty} L_c^{-1}[f]_{x^0}(x;n,T) = L_c^{-1}[f]_{x^0}(x) \tag{3.19}$$

The tempered distribution $F_{\mathbf{x}}[L_c^{-1}[f]_{x^0}(\bullet)](x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}^4)$ *is positive and its support is in the closure of* $\mathbf{R}^4_+$.

Proof. The number $N(f)$ is defined by means of the estimation similar to the estimation (2.3). The lemma analogous to Lemma 2.1 is valid for the tempered distribution $f(x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_+^4)$. By using the inequalities (3.10) and Theorem 2 from [7, Chapter 2, Section 2.2] it is possible to prove the estimation similar to (2.23)

$$|(L_c^{-1}[f]_{x^0}(x;n,T), \phi(x))| \leq C_{n,T}(N(f)+1) \sup_{x^0 \geq 0, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^3} (1+x^0)^{N(f)+1}(1+|\mathbf{x}|)^p |F_{\mathbf{x}}[\phi](x)|. \quad (3.20)$$

The arguments analogous to those of the proof of Proposition 2.4 allow us to replace the constant $C_{n,T}(N(f)+1)$ by the constant independent of the numbers n and T.

The inequalities (3.10) and Proposition 2.4 imply that the limit (3.19) exists on every test function from $S(\mathbf{R}^4)$ whose Fourier transform with respect to the space variables is a positive function. Let $\alpha(x) \in D(\mathbf{R}^4)$ be positive and let it be equal to one into some neighbourhood of zero. For a function $\phi \in S(\mathbf{R}^4)$ we define $M = \sup |F_{\mathbf{x}}[\phi](x)|$. The difference of two positive functions from $S(\mathbf{R}^4)$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathbf{x}}[\phi_{1m}](x) &= \alpha(m^{-1}x)M, \\ F_{\mathbf{x}}[\phi_{2m}](x) &= \alpha(m^{-1}x)(M - F_{\mathbf{x}}[\phi](x)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

converges as $m \to \infty$ to the function $F_{\mathbf{x}}[\phi](x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4)$ in the norm (3.20). Since the constant $C_{n,T}(N(f)+1)$ in the estimation (3.20) may be replaced by the constant independent of the numbers n and T it implies the existence of the limit (3.19) on every test function from $S(\mathbf{R}^4)$. Therefore due to [6, Section 3.7] the sequence of tempered distributions $L_c^{-1}[f]_{x^0}(x;n,T)$ converges in topology of the space $S'(\mathbf{R}^4)$. It follows now from the inequalities (3.10) and the definition (3.18) that the tempered distribution $F_{\mathbf{x}}[L_c^{-1}[f]_{x^0}(\bullet)](x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}^4)$ is positive and its support is in the closure of $\mathbf{R}_+^4$.

A straightforward application of the arguments of the proof of Theorem 2.5 provides the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *Let a tempered distribution $f(x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_+^4)$ satisfy the Osterwalder–Schrader positivity condition* (3.9). *Then the following representation*

$$\begin{aligned} (f(x), \phi(x)) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} L_0^{-1}[f]_{x^0}(\mathbf{x}) d^3\mathbf{x} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(p^0, \mathbf{x}))dp^0 + \\ \int_{\mathbf{R}^4} L_c^{-1}[f]_{x^0}(x) d^4x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\{-x^0p^0\}\phi(p^0, \mathbf{x}))dp^0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

is valid for any function $\phi(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}_+^4)$. Here the positively definite tempered distribution $L_0^{-1}[f]_{x^0}(\mathbf{x}) \in S'(\mathbf{R}^3)$ is defined by the equality (3.11) *and the tempered distribution $L_c^{-1}[f]_{x^0}(x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}^4)$ defined by the relations* (3.18), (3.19). *The distribution $F_{\mathbf{x}}[L_c^{-1}[f]_{x^0}(\bullet)](x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}^4)$ is the positive measure with tempered growth and support in the closure of $\mathbf{R}_+^4$.*

4 Revised Osterwalder–Schrader theorem

We deal with the theory of one Hermitian scalar field. By using the below results and Chapter 6 of the paper [1] it is possible to formulate the extended Osterwalder–Schrader axioms and to prove the revised Osterwalder–Schrader theorem for the theories of arbitrary spinor fields.

We introduce some notation from the papers [1] and [2]. We define the following open sets in $\mathbf{R}^{4n}$: $\mathbf{R}^{4n}_{<} = \{\underline{x} \in \mathbf{R}^{4n} |\ x^0_{j+1} > x^0_j, j = 1, ..., n-1\}$ and $\mathbf{R}^{4n}_0 = \{\underline{x} \in \mathbf{R}^{4n} |\ x_i \neq x_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. For O an open set in $\mathbf{R}^{4n}$, the space $S(O)$ is defined above. On $S(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$ we define two involutions

$$f^*(x_1, ..., x_n) = \overline{f}(x_n, ..., x_1) \qquad (4.1)$$
$$\theta f(x_1, ..., x_n) = f(\theta x_1, ..., \theta x_n),$$

where $\theta x = (-x^0, \mathbf{x})$ and $\overline{f}$ means complex conjugation. The space $S(\mathbf{R}^{4n}_{<})$ is invariant under the involution $f \to \theta f^*$. Let $f \in S(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$, $R \in SO_4$ be an element in the rotation group, $a \in \mathbf{R}^4$ and $\pi \in P_n$ be an element in the group of all permutations of n objects (the letter S_n will be used elsewhere). Then we define $f_{(a,R)}$ and f^π by $f_{(a,R)}(x_1, ..., x_n) = f(Rx_1 + a, ..., Rx_n + a)$ and $f^\pi(x_1, ..., x_n) = f(x_{\pi(1)}, ..., x_{\pi(n)})$.

We remind the Osterwalder–Schrader axioms [1] for the Schwinger functions (Euclidean Green's functions). The set of the Schwinger functions $\{s_n\}$ is a sequence of distributions $s_n(x_1, ..., x_n)$ with the following properties
E0. *Distributions*
$s_0 \equiv 1$, $s_n \in S'(\mathbf{R}^{4n}_0)$ and

$$\overline{(s_n, f)} = (s_n, \theta f^*) \qquad (4.2)$$

for all functions $f \in S(\mathbf{R}^{4n}_{<})$.
E1. *Euclidean invariance*

$$(s_n, f_{(a,R)}) = (s_n, f) \qquad (4.3)$$

for all $R \in SO_4$, $a \in \mathbf{R}^4$ and $f \in S(\mathbf{R}^{4n}_0)$.
E2. *Positivity*

$$\sum_{n,m} (s_{n+m}, \theta f^*_n \otimes f_m) \geq 0 \qquad (4.4)$$

for all finite sequences of the functions $f_n \in S(\mathbf{R}^{4n}_{<} \cap \mathbf{R}^{4n}_{+})$, where the function $(f \otimes g)(x_1, ..., x_{n+m}) = f(x_1, ..., x_n) g(x_{n+1}, ..., x_{n+m})$ is defined for all functions $f \in S(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$ and $g \in S(\mathbf{R}^{4m})$.
E3. *Symmetry*

$$(s_n, f^\pi) = (s_n, f) \qquad (4.5)$$

for all permutations $\pi \in P_n$ and for all functions $f \in S(\mathbf{R}^{4n}_0)$.
E4. *Cluster property*

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (s_{n+m}, \theta f^*_n \otimes (g_m)_{(ta,1)}) = (s_n, \theta f^*_n)(s_m, g_m) \qquad (4.6)$$

for all $f_n \in S(\mathbf{R}^{4n}_{<} \cap \mathbf{R}^{4n}_{+})$, $g_m \in S(\mathbf{R}^{4m}_{<} \cap \mathbf{R}^{4m}_{+})$, $a = (0, \mathbf{a})$, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{R}^3$.

Let us consider the restriction of the distribution $s_n \in S'(\mathbf{R}_0^{4n})$ on the test functions from the space $S(\mathbf{R}_<^{4n})$. Then the translation invariance (4.3) implies

$$s_n(x_1, ..., x_n) = S_{n-1}(x_2 - x_1, ..., x_n - x_{n-1}), \tag{4.7}$$

where the distribution $S_{n-1}(\underline{x}) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_+^{4(n-1)})$. We note that for the function $g(x_1, ..., x_{n+1}) = f(x_2 - x_1, ..., x_{n+1} - x_n)$ the definitions (4.1) imply the equality $\theta g^*(x_1, ..., x_{n+1}) = (\theta_p f^*)(x_2 - x_1, ..., x_{n+1} - x_n)$, where the involution

$$\theta_p f(x_1, ..., x_n) = f(-\theta x_1, ..., -\theta x_n) \tag{4.8}$$

leaves the space $S(\mathbf{R}_+^{4n})$ invariant.

We substitute into the inequality (4.4) the sequence consisting of single function

$$f_{m+1}(x_1, ..., x_{m+1}) = \begin{cases} \overline{\phi}_1(x_1)\phi_m(x_2 - x_1, ..., x_{m+1} - x_m), & m > 0 \\ \overline{\phi}_1(x_1), & m = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

where the functions $\phi_1 \in S(\mathbf{R}_+^4)$ and $\phi_m \in S(\mathbf{R}_+^{4m})$. Then by using the definitions (4.7) and (4.8) we can rewrite the inequality (4.4) for $m = 0$ in the form (3.9) and for $m > 0$ in the following form

$$\int d^4x d^4y S_{2m+1}(\theta_p \phi_m^*, x - \theta y, \phi_m)\overline{\phi}_1(x)\phi_1(y) \geq 0. \tag{4.10}$$

Here we introduce the distribution

$$\int d^4x S_{n+m+1}(f_n, x, f_m) f_1(x) = \int d^{4(n+m+1)}x S_{n+m+1}(\underline{x}) f_n \otimes f_1 \otimes f_m(\underline{x}), \tag{4.11}$$

constructed from the distribution $S_{n+m+1}(\underline{x}) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_+^{4(n+m+1)})$ and the test functions $f_n \in S(\mathbf{R}_+^{4n})$, $f_m \in S(\mathbf{R}_+^{4m})$. For $n = 0$ or $m = 0$ the distribution (4.11) is defined in an obvious way.

The inequalities (4.10) show that the distributions $S_{2m+1}(\theta_p \phi_m^*, x, \phi_m)$ are extremely significant. We formulate the new axiom exactly for these distributions.
E5. *Weak spectral condition*
Let $S_{2m+1}(\underline{x}) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_+^{4(2m+1)})$, $m = 1, 2, ...$, be any distribution defined by the relation (4.7). Then there is the natural number K such that for any integers $k > K$, $1 \leq l \leq m$ and for all test functions $\psi_i(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4)$, $i = 1, ..., l$, $\psi_j(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}_+^4)$, $j = l + 1, ..., m + 1$, there exists the limit

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n_1,...,n_l \to \infty, n_i \in \mathbf{Z}} \lim_{T_1,...,T_l \to -\infty, T_i \in \mathbf{R}} \int d^{4(2m+1)}x (\prod_{i=1}^{l} x_i^0 x_{2m+2-i}^0)^{-k} S_{2m+1}(\underline{x}) \times \\ &[(L_c^{-1}[\psi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi_m]_{x_1^0,...,x_l^0}(\bullet; \underline{n}, \underline{T})) \otimes \psi_{m+1} \otimes \\ &\theta_p(L_c^{-1}[\psi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi_m]_{x_1^0,...,x_l^0}(\bullet; \underline{n}, \underline{T}))^*](\underline{x}), \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where the function

$$L_c^{-1}[\psi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi_m]_{x_1^0,\dots,x_l^0}(\underline{x};\underline{n},\underline{T})) = (\prod_{i=1}^{l} L_c^{-1}[\psi_i]_{x_i^0}(x_i;n_i,T_i))(\prod_{i=l+1}^{m} \psi_i(x_i)) \tag{4.13}$$

and the function $L_c^{-1}[\psi]_{x^0}(x;n,T)$ is given by the equality (2.54).

Theorem 2.7 clarifies this weak spectral condition.

Let us remind the Wightman axioms [8] for the Wightman distributions. The set of the Wightman distributions $\{w_n\}$ is a sequence of distributions with the following properties

R0. *Temperedness*

$w_0 \equiv 1$, $w_n \in S'(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$ and

$$\overline{(w_n, f)} = (w_n, f^*) \tag{4.14}$$

for all $f \in S(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$.

R1. *Relativistic invariance*

$$(w_n, f_{(a,\Lambda)}) = (w_n, f) \tag{4.15}$$

for all vectors $a \in \mathbf{R}^4$, for all Lorentz transformations $\Lambda \in L_+^{\uparrow}$ and for all functions $f \in S(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$, where the function $f_{(a,\Lambda)}(\underline{x}) = f(\Lambda^{-1}(x_1 - a), \dots, \Lambda^{-1}(x_n - a))$.

R2. *Positivity*

$$\sum_{n,m} (w_{n+m}, f_n^* \otimes f_m) \geq 0 \tag{4.16}$$

for all finite sequences of the functions $f_n \in S(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$.

R3. *Local commutativity*

For all natural numbers $n > 0$ and $j = 1, \dots, n-1$

$$w_n(x_1, \dots, x_{j+1}, x_j, .., x_n) = w_n(x_1, \dots, x_j, x_{j+1}, .., x_n) \tag{4.17}$$

if the vector $x_{j+1} - x_j \in \mathbf{R}^4$ is spacelike: $(x_{j+1} - x_j, x_{j+1} - x_j) \equiv (x_{j+1}^0 - x_j^0)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^3 (x_{j+1}^i - x_j^i)^2 < 0$.

R4. *Cluster property*

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} w_{n+m}(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1} + \lambda a, \dots, x_{n+m} + \lambda a) = \\ &w_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) w_m(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

for all natural numbers $n, m > 0$ and for all spacelike vectors $a \in \mathbf{R}^4$.

R5. *Spectral condition*

For all natural numbers $n > 1$ there exists the tempered distribution $W_{n-1}^{\sim} \in S'(\mathbf{R}^{4(n-1)})$ with support in $\overline{V}_+^{\times n}$, where $\overline{V}_+$ is the closed forward light cone, such that

$$w_n(\underline{x}) = \int d^{4(n-1)} p W_{n-1}^{\sim}(\underline{p}) \exp\{i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (p_j, (x_{j+1} - x_j))\}. \tag{4.19}$$

Now we are able to formulate the revised Osterwalder–Schrader theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *To a given sequence of Wightman distributions satisfying R0–R5, there corresponds a unique sequence of Schwinger functions with the properties E0–E5. To a given sequence of Schwinger functions satisfying E0–E5, there corresponds a unique sequence of Wightman distributions with the properties R0–R5.*

Proof. We start from a relativistic field theory given by a sequence of Wightman distributions, satisfying the axioms R0–R5. Due to Theorem 3.5 from [8] the Wightman distribution w_n is the boundary value of the Wightman function $w_n(z_1,...,z_n) = W_{n-1}(z_2 - z_1,...,z_n - z_{n-1})$, where the function $W_{n-1}(z_1,...,z_{n-1})$ is analytic in the tube $T_{n-1} = \{z_1,...,z_{n-1}|\ \mathrm{Im} z_i \in V_+, i = 1,...,n-1\}$. The Wightman function $w_n(z_1,...,z_n)$ is Lorentz invariant (Lorentz covariant for the theories of arbitrary spinor fields). The Bargmann Hall Wightman theorem [8, Theorem 2.11] implies that the function $W_n(z_1,...,z_n)$ allows a single valued $L_+(\mathbf{C})$ invariant ($L_+(\mathbf{C})$ covariant for the theories of arbitrary spinor fields) analytic continuation into the extended tube $T'_n = \cup_{A\in L_+(\mathbf{C})} AT_n$. By using Theorem 3.6 from [8] we conclude that the function $w_n(z_1,...,z_n)$ has an $L_+(\mathbf{C})$ invariant, single valued, symmetric under the permutations analytic continuation into the domain $IT_n^p = \{z_1,...,z_n|\ (z_{\pi(2)} - z_{\pi(1)},...,z_{\pi(n)} - z_{\pi(n-1)}) \in T'_{n-1}$ for some permutation $\pi(1),...,\pi(n)$ of the numbers $1,...,n\}$. (For the theories of arbitrary spinor fields this function has an $L_+(\mathbf{C})$ covariant, single valued analytic continuation into the domain IT_n^p with obvious symmetry properties under the permutations.) The set IT_n^p contains the set of the Euclidean points $E_n = \{z_1,...,z_n|\mathrm{Re} z_k^0 = 0,\ \mathrm{Im}\mathbf{z}_k = 0, z_k \neq z_j \text{for all } 1 \leq k,j \leq n, k \neq j\}$. The restriction of the Wightman functions to Euclidean points defines the Schwinger functions

$$s_n(x_1,...,x_n) = w_n((ix_1^0,\mathbf{x}_1),...,(ix_n^0,\mathbf{x}_n)). \tag{4.20}$$

The derivation of the extended Osterwalder–Schrader axioms E0–E5 from the Wightman axioms follows the arguments of the paper [1] and of Theorem 2.7.

Let $\{s_n\}$ be a sequence of distributions satisfying the extended Osterwalder–Schrader axioms E0–E5. If we substitute into the inequality (4.4) the sequence consisting of single function (4.9) for $m = 0$ we get the inequality (3.9) for the distribution $S_1(x)$. Due to Theorem 3.5 this distribution is the Laplace transform with respect to the time variable of a tempered distribution with support in the closure $\overline{\mathbf{R}}_+^4$. Let us substitute into the inequality (4.4) the sequence consisting of two functions $f_{n+1}(x)$ and $f_{m+1}(x)$ of type (4.9) with the same function $\phi_1(x)$. Then we obtain the following inequality

$$\int d^4x d^4y S\{\phi_n,\phi_m\}(x - \theta y)\overline{\phi_1(x)}\phi_1(y) \geq 0, \tag{4.21}$$

where the distribution

$$\begin{aligned} S\{\phi_n,\phi_m\}(x) = S\{\phi_m,\phi_n\}(x) = S_{2m+1}(\theta_p\phi_m^*, x, \phi_m) + S_{2n+1}(\theta_p\phi_n^*, x, \phi_n) + \\ S_{m+n+1}(\theta_p\phi_m^*, x, \phi_n) + S_{m+n+1}(\theta_p\phi_n^*, x, \phi_m). \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

This definition may be easily modified for the case $n = 0$ or $m = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &S\{\lambda,\phi_m\}(x) = S\{\phi_m,\lambda\}(x) = \\ &S_{2m+1}(\theta_p\phi_m^*, x, \phi_m) + |\lambda|^2 S_1(x) + \lambda S_{m+1}(\theta_p\phi_m^*, x) + \overline{\lambda} S_{m+1}(x, \phi_m), \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

where λ is a complex number. The equality (4.22) implies the relation $S\{\phi_n, \phi_n\}(x) = 4S_{2n+1}(\theta_p \phi_n^*, x, \phi_n)$. Hence the inequality (4.10) is the particular case of the inequality (4.21) for $m = n$. It follows from the definitions (4.22), (4.23) that the distribution (4.11) is the linear combination of the distributions (4.22) and (4.23)

$$S_{m+n+1}(\phi_m, x, \phi_n) = 1/2S\{\phi_n, \theta_p \phi_m^*\}(x) + i/2S\{\phi_n, i\theta_p \phi_m^*\}(x) -$$
$$(1+i)/2S_{2m+1}(\phi_m, x, \theta_p \phi_m^*) - (1+i)/2S_{2n+1}(\theta_p \phi_n^*, x, \phi_n). \tag{4.24}$$

In particular for $m = 0$ or $n = 0$ and $\phi_0 = 1$ we get

$$S_{n+1}(x, \phi_n) = 1/2S\{1, \phi_n\}(x) + i/2S\{i, \phi_n\}(x) -$$
$$(1+i)/2S_{2n+1}(\theta_p \phi_n^*, x, \phi_n) - (1+i)/2S_1(x), \tag{4.25}$$

$$S_{n+1}(\phi_n, x) = 1/2S\{1, \theta_p \phi_n^*\}(x) + i/2S\{1, i\theta_p \phi_n^*\}(x) -$$
$$(1+i)/2S_{2n+1}(\phi_n, x, \theta_p \phi_n^*) - (1+i)/2S_1(x). \tag{4.26}$$

The inequalities (4.21) imply that for any function $\phi_n \in S(\mathbf{R}_+^4)$ every of four distributions, depending on the variable x, in the right–hand side of the equality (4.25) is proportional to the distribution from $S'(\mathbf{R}_+^4)$, satisfying the Osterwalder–Schrader positivity condition (3.9). Due to Lemma 3.2 the limits (3.11) and (3.12) are equal to zero for the distribution $(x^0)^{-k} S_{n+1}(x, \phi_n) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_+^4)$ if the integer $k > 0$. It follows from Proposition 3.4 that for the distribution $S_{n+1}(\underline{x}) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_+^{4(n+1)})$ there exists the limit (2.54) for the integers $l = 1$, $j_1 = 1$, $K = 0$. By the definition the support of the distribution $L_c^{-1}[(x_1^0)^{-k} S_{n+1}]_{x_1^0}\ (x_1, ..., x_{n+1})$ with respect to the first variable x_1 is in the closure $\overline{\mathbf{R}}_+^4$. Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.2 imply that for all functions $\psi_i \in S(\mathbf{R}_+^4)$, $i = 1, ..., n+1$, and for any integer $k > 0$ the following relation holds

$$\int d^{4(n+1)}x S_{n+1}(\underline{x}) \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \psi_i(x_i) = \int d^{4(n+1)}x (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^0})^k L_c^{-1}[(x_1^0)^{-k} S_{n+1}]_{x_1^0}(\underline{x}) \times$$
$$\int dy_1^0 \exp\{-x_1^0 y_1^0\} \psi_1(y_1^0, \mathbf{x}_1) \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \psi_i(x_i) \tag{4.27}$$

In view of the equality (4.26) all above results are valid for the distribution $S_{n+1}(\phi_n, x) \in S'(\mathbf{R}_+^4)$, where the function $\phi_n \in S(\mathbf{R}_+^{4n})$.

The weak spectral condition E5 implies the existence of the limit

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \lim_{T\to-\infty} \int d^{4(2m+1)}x S_{2n+1}(\underline{x}) (x_1^0)^{-k} L_c^{-1}[\psi_1]_{x_1^0}(x_1; m, T) \times \tag{4.28}$$
$$(\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \psi_i(x_i)) \theta_p ((\prod_{i=2}^{n} \overline{\psi}_i(x_{2n+2-i}))(x_{2n+1}^0)^{-k} L_c^{-1}[\overline{\psi}_1]_{x_{2n+1}^0}(x_{2n+1}; m, T))$$

for some positive integer k and for all functions $\psi_1(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4)$, $\psi_i(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$, $i = 2, ..., n+1$. Here the function $L_c^{-1}[\psi_1]_{x^0}(x; m, T)$ is defined by the relation (2.54). The linear functional (4.28) with respect to the function $\psi_{n+1}(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$ is the tempered distribution from the space $S'(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$. It satisfies the Osterwalder–Schrader positivity condition (3.9). The similar arguments may be applied for the distributions $S\{1, \theta_p(\prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i(x_i))^*\}(x)$ and $S\{1, i\theta_p(\prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i(x_i))^*\}(x)$ in the right–hand side of the equality of type (4.26). Thus the limit (2.54) $(L_c^{-1}[(x_1^0)^{-k} S_{n+1}]_{x_1^0}(\underline{x}), (\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \psi_i(x_i)))$, the existence of which is proved above, for some positive integer k and for all functions $\psi_1(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4)$, $\psi_i(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$, $i = 2, ..., n+1$, has the decomposition of type (4.26) into four distributions with respect to the function $\psi_{n+1}(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$. These distributions are proportional to the distributions from $S'(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$ satisfying the Osterwalder–Schrader positivity condition (3.9). Now Proposition 3.4 implies that for the distribution $S_{n+1}(\underline{x}) \in S'(\mathbf{R}^{4(n+1)}_+)$ there exists the limit (2.54) for $l = 2$, $j_1 = 1$, $j_2 = n+1$ and for some positive integer k. Due to the definition the supports of this limiting distribution $L_c^{-1}\ [(x_1^0 x_{n+1}^0)^{-k} S_{n+1}]_{x_1^0, x_{n+1}^0}\ (\underline{x})$ with respect to the first and the last variables are in the closure $\overline{\mathbf{R}}^4_+$. Theorem 3.5, Lemma 3.2 and the relation (4.27) imply that for sufficiently large positive integer k and for all functions $\psi_i(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$, $i = 1, ..., n+1$, the following relation holds

$$\int d^{4(n+1)}x S_{n+1}(\underline{x}) \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \psi_i(x_i) = \int d^{4(n+1)}x \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^0 \partial x_{n+1}^0}\right)^k L_c^{-1}[(x_1^0 x_{n+1}^0)^{-k} S_{n+1}]_{x_1^0, x_{n+1}^0}(\underline{x}) \times$$
$$\int dy_1^0 dy_{n+1}^0 \exp\{-\sum_{i=1,n+1} x_i^0 y_i^0\}\left(\prod_{i=1,n+1} \psi_i(y_i^0, \mathbf{x}_i)\right) \prod_{i=2}^{n} \psi_i(x_i). \qquad (4.29)$$

By using the weak spectral condition E5 and the equalities (4.24) it is possible to prove step by step that there exists the limit (2.54) for the distribution $S_{n+1}(\underline{x}) \in S'(\mathbf{R}^{4(n+1)}_+)$, for $l = n+1$ and for some positive integer k. By the definition the supports of this limiting distribution $L_c^{-1}\ [(\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^0)^{-k} S_{n+1}]_{\underline{x}^0}\ (\underline{x})$ with respect to any variable is in the closure $\overline{\mathbf{R}}^4_+$. Since the weak convergence in the space S' implies the convergence in the topology of the space S' (see [6, Section 3.7]) the limit $(L_c^{-1}\ [(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^0)^{-k} S_{n+1}]_{\underline{x}^0}\ (\underline{x}), (\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \psi_i(x_i)))$ is continuous in each function $\psi_i(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4)$. Hence the nuclear theorem [7, Chapter 1, Section 1, Theorem 6] implies that $L_c^{-1}\ [(\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^0)^{-k} S_{n+1}]_{\underline{x}^0}\ (\underline{x}) \in S'(\mathbf{R}^{4(n+1)})$. Its support is in the closure $\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{4(n+1)}_+$. The application step by step of Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.2 gives for sufficiently large positive integer k and for all functions $\psi_i(x) \in S(\mathbf{R}^4_+)$, $i = 1, ..., n+1$ the following relation

$$\int d^{4(n+1)}x S_{n+1}(\underline{x}) \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \psi_i(x_i) = \int d^{4(n+1)}x \left(\frac{\partial^{n+1}}{\partial x_1^0 \cdots \partial x_{n+1}^0}\right)^k L_c^{-1}[(\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^0)^{-k} S_{n+1}]_{\underline{x}^0}(\underline{x}) \times$$
$$\int dy_1^0 \cdots dy_{n+1}^0 \exp\{-\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^0 y_i^0\}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \psi_i(y_i^0, \mathbf{x}_i)\right). \qquad (4.30)$$

Therefore for any $n = 1, 2, ...$ the distribution $S_n(\underline{x}) \in S'(\mathbf{R}^{4n}_+)$ is the Laplace transform of the tempered distribution from $S'(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$ with support in the closure $\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{4n}_+$.

Now the derivation of the Wightman axioms R0–R5 from the Osterwalder–Schrader axioms E0–E4 follows the arguments of the paper [1] .

References

[1] Osterwalder, K., Schrader, R. : Axioms for Euclidean Green's Functions. Commun. Math. Phys. **31**, 83–112 (1973)

[2] Osterwalder, K., Schrader, R. : Axioms for Euclidean Green's Functions 2. Commun. Math. Phys. **42**, 281–305 (1975)

[3] Glaser, V. : On the Equivalence of the Euclidean and Wightman Formulation of Field Theory. Commun. Math. Phys. **37**, 257–272 (1974)

[4] Bernstein, S. : Sur les fonctions absolument monotones. Acta Math. **52**, 1–66 (1929)

[5] Zinoviev, Yu.M. : Inversion formulas for the Laplace transform. 1. The octant. Teor. Mat. Fiz. **65**, 16–23 (1985); English transl. in Theor. Math. Phys. **65**, (1985)

[6] Vladimirov, V.S. : Methods of Theory of Functions of Many Complex Variables. Cambridge MA : MIT Press 1966

[7] Gel'fand, I.M., Vilenkin, N.Ya. : Generalized Functions, Vol. 4. New York : Academic Press 1964

[8] Streater, R.F., Wightman, A.S. : PCT, spin and statistics and all that. New York, Amsterdam : Benjamin 1964